arXiv:1107.4595v3 [math.NT] 2 Nov 2011

The intersection of cyclic Kummer extensions with cyclotomic extensions

Antonella Perucca

Abstract

Let K be a field, and ℓ a prime number different from the characteristic of K. Consider an extension of K of the form $K(\zeta_{\ell^m}, \sqrt[\ell^n]{a})$, obtained by adding a root of unity of order ℓ^m and the ℓ^n-th root of some element of $K^\times$, with $m \geq n$. We give a formula for the degree of this extension, which depends only on few parameters. As an application, if K is a number field we calculate the density of primes $\mathfrak{p}$ of K such that the order of $(a \bmod \mathfrak{p})$ is coprime to ℓ. This work is based on a result by Schinzel of 1977 describing abelian radical extensions.

1 Introduction

Let K be a field, and consider an extension of K of the form $L := K(\zeta_m, \sqrt[n]{a})$ where $a \in K^\times$, ζ_m is a primitive m-th root of unity, n divides m, and m is not divisible by the characteristic of K. The field L contains the cyclotomic extension $K_m := K(\zeta_m)$, and the relative extension L/K_m is the cyclic Kummer extension obtained by adjoining the n-th roots of a. The first problem that we address is determining the degree of the cyclic Kummer extension L/K_m. This degree is a divisor of n.

We may reduce to the case where a has no ℓ-th roots in K for every prime ℓ dividing n. Then often the degree of L/K_m equals n, and it is lower if and only if a acquires ℓ-th roots in K_m for some ℓ dividing n. If m is the power of a prime number ℓ, the phenomenon for a of acquiring ℓ-th roots in K_m is very limited:

Theorem 1. *Let m be the power of a prime number ℓ different from the characteristic of K. Suppose that $a\xi$ has no ℓ-th roots in K for every root of unity $\xi \in K$. If ℓ is odd then a has no ℓ-th roots in K_m, and if $\ell = 2$ then a has no 4-th roots in K_m.*

We call a *strongly ℓ-indivisible* if it satisfies the assumption in the theorem, so if $a\xi$ has no ℓ-th roots in K for every root of unity $\xi \in K$. An element $a \in K^\times$ is the power of a strongly ℓ-indivisible element times a root of unity in K, unless we are in the following very special case: for some root of unity $\xi \in K$, $a\xi$ has ℓ^r-th roots in K for every $r \geq 1$. In this case, the Kummer extension L/K_m is a cyclotomic extension and our problem is easily solved. By relating a to a strongly ℓ-indivisible element, we can write a formula for the degree of L/K_m for every $a \in K^\times$. Precise statements are given in theorems 16 and 17, which cover respectively the case where ℓ is odd or $\zeta_4 \in K$, and the remaining case.

Note, if m is not necessarily a prime power then a could acquire e-th roots in K_m, where e is the exponent of the torsion of $K^\times$, even if a is strongly ℓ-indivisible for every prime number ℓ dividing m (cf. theorems 18 and 20).

Theorem 1 is surprisingly not to be found in the common references on Kummer theory, although it might have been known already to Hasse [7] or to Artin and Tate [1, ch. 10]. We prove theorem 1 (cf. lem. 13 and 14) as an application of a theorem by Schinzel of 1977 describing which extensions of the form $K(\zeta_{\ell^n}, \sqrt[\ell^n]{a})$ have abelian Galois group [15, thm. 2]. The reader might have encountered in the literature special cases of theorem 1 (cf. [2, lem. 2], [10, ch. 9, th. 9.4], [16, lem 2.1]). A not widely-known result which is in fact equivalent to theorem 1 is [12, § 1, ch. 9], cf. theorem 15: the original proof is in the style of [16, lem 2.1], but we provide an alternative proof which shows that this result is also a consequence of Schinzel's theorem. As related works on radical extensions we signal works by Albu, Koch and Velez: [3], [4], [6].

Let K be a number field, ℓ a prime number and a an element of $K^\times$. Because of the results of this paper, we are able to compute the density of the set of primes $\mathfrak{p}$ of K such that the reduction of a modulo $\mathfrak{p}$ has multiplicative order coprime to ℓ. The value of this density was known only for $\mathbb{Q}$ or under the assumption that $K_{\ell^n}(\sqrt[\ell^n]{a})/K$ has degree $\phi(\ell^n)\ell^n$ for all $n \geq 1$ (cf. [9], [13]). We do not require any assumption. The formulas depend on some parameters, but the expressions are particularly elegant (see the appendix). Moreover, our general approach explains the many cases which were known to occur for $K = \mathbb{Q}$ and $\ell = 2$.

The results in this paper can also be used to work out other densities, for example those arising from Artin's conjecture for primitive roots over number fields, generalizing Hooley's formulas. However in order to do so one has to fix a number field, and the primes dividing the exponent of the torsion of $K^\times$ will require a correction factor: for $\mathbb{Q}$, the correction factor was needed only for the prime 2 (cf. [8]).

Acknowledgements

It is a pleasure to thank Hendrik Lenstra for essential contributions to this paper, and Peter Jossen, Falko Lorenz, Pieter Moree, Andrzej Schinzel and Jean-Pierre Serre for useful remarks.

2 Cyclotomic extensions

This section contains properties of the cyclotomic extensions that are needed for this paper, and in particular a formula for the degree. References about cyclotomic extensions are: [5] [10] [14] [17]. For $m \geq 1$, we write ζ_m for a primitive root of unity of order m.

Consider μ_m, the finite group generated by ζ_m. Then μ_m is isomorphic to the product of μ_{ℓ^e}, where ℓ is a prime dividing m and $e = v_\ell(m) > 0$ is the ℓ-adic valuation of m.

Moreover, the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(\mu_m)$ is isomorphic to the product of the groups $\mathrm{Aut}(\mu_{\ell^e})$. Recall that we have:

$$\mathrm{Aut}(\mu_{\ell^e}) \simeq \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \ell^e = 2 \\ \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2^{e-2} & \text{if } \ell = 2 \text{ and } e \geq 2 \\ \mathbb{Z}/(\ell-1) \times \mathbb{Z}/\ell^{e-1} & \text{if } \ell \text{ is odd} \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

In the last two cases, the kernel of the first projection consists of the automorphisms fixing respectively ζ_4 and ζ_ℓ. If $1 \leq r \leq e$, and if $r > 1$ for $\ell = 2$, the subgroup of automorphisms fixing ζ_{ℓ^r} is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/\ell^{e-r}$.

Let K be a field, and ℓ a prime different from the characteristic of K. Denote by K_{ℓ^e} the finite extension of K obtained by adjoining the ℓ^e-th roots of unity. We write K_{ℓ^∞} for the union of the fields K_{ℓ^e} for $e \geq 0$. The extension K_{ℓ^e}/K is finite and Galois, and its Galois group embeds into $\mathrm{Aut}(\mu_{\ell^e})$. In particular, this Galois group is cyclic if ℓ is odd or if $\zeta_4 \in K$. We make use of the following elementary fact about the ℓ-adic valuation of the integers:

Lemma 2. *Let ℓ be a prime number, $c > 1$ and $h = v_\ell(c-1)$. Suppose $h \geq 1$, and $h > 1$ for $\ell = 2$. Then $v_\ell(c^{\ell^t} - 1) = h + t$ for all $t \geq 1$.*

Proof. By iteration, it suffices to prove the statement for $t = 1$. By the assumption on h, we have $c^\ell = (1 + r\ell^h)^\ell \equiv 1 + r\ell^{h+1} (\mathrm{mod}\, \ell^{h+2})$ for some integer r coprime to ℓ. □

Lemma 3. *Let K be a field, and ℓ a prime number different from the characteristic of K. The degree $[K_\ell : K]$ is a divisor of $\ell - 1$. The degree $[K_4 : K]$ is 1 if $\zeta_4 \in K$, and 2 otherwise. Let $K' = K_\ell$ if ℓ is odd, and $K' = K_4$ if $\ell = 2$. If $K' \neq K_{\ell^\infty}$, let $h \geq 1$ be the greatest positive integer such that $K_{\ell^h} = K'$. Then for every $e \geq 2$ we have*

$$[K_{\ell^e} : K] = [K' : K] \cdot \ell^{\max(0, e-h)} \,. \tag{2}$$

Proof. Since $\zeta_2 \in K$, we have $[K_2 : K] = 1$ and $[K_4 : K]$ divides 2. Thus $[K_4 : K]$ is 1 if $\zeta_4 \in K$, and it is 2 otherwise. The degree $[K_\ell : K]$ is a divisor of $\ell - 1$ because the Galois group of K_ℓ/K embeds into $\mathrm{Aut}(\mu_\ell)$. Now we prove (2). If $2 \leq e \leq h$ then $K_{\ell^e} = K'$ hence $[K_{\ell^e} : K] = [K' : K]$. Now suppose that $e > h$. Since $[K_{\ell^e} : K] = [K_{\ell^e} : K'][K' : K]$ we are left to show that $[K_{\ell^e} : K'] = [K'_{\ell^e} : K'] = \ell^{e-h}$. Since $\zeta_{\ell^h} \in K'$, the Galois group of K'_{ℓ^e}/K' is cyclic of order dividing ℓ^{e-h}. Let σ be a generator and write $\sigma(\zeta_{\ell^e}) = \zeta_{\ell^e}^c$ for some integer $c > 1$. Recall that by definition of h we have $\zeta_{\ell^h} \in K'$ and $\zeta_{\ell^{h+1}} \notin K'$. So σ fixes ζ_{ℓ^h} but does not fix $\zeta_{\ell^{h+1}}$. This means that $\zeta_{\ell^h}^{c-1} = 1$ while $\zeta_{\ell^{h+1}}^{c-1} \neq 1$, and we deduce $v_\ell(c-1) = h$. Let ℓ^t be the order of σ. We know that ℓ^t divides ℓ^{e-h}, and we are left to show that $t \geq e - h$. Since ζ_{ℓ^e} is fixed by σ^{ℓ^t}, by lemma 2 we have $e \leq v_\ell(c^{\ell^t} - 1) = h + t$. □

In characteristic p, the Galois group of a cyclotomic extension is cyclic, while in characteristic zero this is not necessarily the case if ζ_4 does not belong to the base field:

Lemma 4. *For $n \geq 3$, define the following fields:*

$$\mathbb{Q}_{2^n}^{+} := \mathbb{Q}\big(\zeta_{2^n} + \zeta_{2^n}^{-1}\big) = \mathbb{Q}\Big(\sqrt{\zeta_{2^{n-1}} + \zeta_{2^{n-1}}^{-1} + 2}\Big) \tag{3}$$

$$\mathbb{Q}_{2^n}^{-} := \mathbb{Q}\big(\zeta_4 \cdot (\zeta_{2^n} + \zeta_{2^n}^{-1})\big) = \mathbb{Q}\Big(\sqrt{-(\zeta_{2^{n-1}} + \zeta_{2^{n-1}}^{-1} + 2)}\Big) \tag{4}$$

The element ζ_4 does not belong to $\mathbb{Q}_{2^n}^{+}$ nor to $\mathbb{Q}_{2^n}^{-}$ for any n. The field $\mathbb{Q}_{2^n}^{+}$ (respectively, $\mathbb{Q}_{2^n}^{-}$) is contained in $\mathbb{Q}_{2^n}$ but not in $\mathbb{Q}_{2^m}$ for $m < n$. If we write $\mathbb{Q}_4^{+} := \mathbb{Q}$ then for every $n \geq 3$ we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{Q}_{2^{n-1}} \arrow[rr] & & \mathbb{Q}_{2^n} \arrow[rr] & & \mathbb{Q}_{2^{n+1}} \\
& \mathbb{Q}_{2^n}^{-} \arrow[ur] & & \mathbb{Q}_{2^{n+1}}^{-} \arrow[ur] & \\
\mathbb{Q}_{2^{n-1}}^{+} \arrow[uu] \arrow[ur] \arrow[rr] & & \mathbb{Q}_{2^n}^{+} \arrow[uu] \arrow[ur] \arrow[rr] & & \mathbb{Q}_{2^{n+1}}^{+} \arrow[uu]
\end{tikzcd}$$

This diagram shows all subfields of $\mathbb{Q}_{2^{n+1}}$ containing $\mathbb{Q}_{2^{n-1}}^{+}$. The sequences of arrows describe all fields inclusion. Each arrow corresponds to an extension of degree 2.

Proof. The equality $(\zeta_{2^n} + \zeta_{2^n}^{-1})^2 = \zeta_{2^{n-1}} + \zeta_{2^{n-1}}^{-1} + 2$ implies that (3) and (4) hold, and also that $\mathbb{Q}_{2^n}^{+}/\mathbb{Q}_{2^{n-1}}^{+}$ and $\mathbb{Q}_{2^n}^{-}/\mathbb{Q}_{2^{n-1}}^{+}$ are extensions of degree 2. Since $(\zeta_{2^n} + \zeta_{2^n}^{-1})$ is a real number, ζ_4 cannot belong to $\mathbb{Q}_{2^n}^{+}$ and so neither to $\mathbb{Q}_{2^n}^{-}$. In particular, $\mathbb{Q}_{2^{n-1}}$ is not contained in either of $\mathbb{Q}_{2^n}^{+}$, $\mathbb{Q}_{2^{n+1}}^{+}$, $\mathbb{Q}_{2^n}^{-}$, $\mathbb{Q}_{2^{n+1}}^{-}$.

The fields $\mathbb{Q}_{2^n}^{+}$ and $\mathbb{Q}_{2^n}^{-}$ are clearly contained in $\mathbb{Q}_{2^n}$. Adding ζ_4 to $\mathbb{Q}_{2^{n-1}}^{-}$ or to $\mathbb{Q}_{2^{n-1}}^{+}$ gives a common extension of degree 2 contained in $\mathbb{Q}_{2^n}$. This extension is exactly $\mathbb{Q}_{2^n}$ because ζ_{2^n} satisfies the polynomial $X^2 - (\zeta_{2^n} + \zeta_{2^n}^{-1})X + 1$. Since $\mathbb{Q}_{2^n}/\mathbb{Q}_{2^{n-1}}$ has degree 2, it is not possible that $\mathbb{Q}_{2^{n-1}}$ contains $\mathbb{Q}_{2^n}^{+}$ or $\mathbb{Q}_{2^n}^{-}$. Note, $\mathbb{Q}_{2^n}^{-}$ and $\mathbb{Q}_{2^n}^{+}$ do not contain ζ_4 but their composite does. Then we have $\mathbb{Q}_{2^n}^{+} \not\subseteq \mathbb{Q}_{2^n}^{-}$, $\mathbb{Q}_{2^n}^{-} \not\subseteq \mathbb{Q}_{2^{n+1}}^{+}$ and since $\mathbb{Q}_{2^n}^{+} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_{2^{n+1}}^{-}$ it must be $\mathbb{Q}_{2^n}^{-} \not\subseteq \mathbb{Q}_{2^{n+1}}^{-}$.

We conclude that every arrow in the diagram corresponds to an extension of degree 2, and there are no more inclusions between the considered fields. The Galois group of $\mathbb{Q}_{2^{n+1}}/\mathbb{Q}_{2^{n-1}}^{+}$ has order 8 and embeds in $\mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2^{n-1}$. By the Galois correspondence we listed all intermediate fields. $\square$

Note, we have $\mathbb{Q}_{2^3}^{+} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ and $\mathbb{Q}_{2^3}^{-} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$. More generally, $\mathbb{Q}_{2^n}^{+}$ is totally real because $(\zeta_{2^n} + \zeta_{2^n}^{-1})$ is invariant by complex conjugation.

Let $G = \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2^{n-2}$. The subgroups of G which are non-trivial and different from $\langle (1,0) \rangle$ are of three different types:

$$H_{0,x} = \langle (0,x) \rangle \quad H_{1,x} = \langle (1,x) \rangle \quad H_{2,x} = \langle (0,x); (1,0) \rangle$$

where x is a non-zero element of $\mathbb{Z}/2^{n-2}$. We identify the Galois group of $\mathbb{Q}_{2^n}/\mathbb{Q}$ with G by lemma 4. Then with the above notations we have:

Lemma 5. *Let a be the 2-adic valuation of the order of x, so $1 \leq a \leq n-2$. Then*

$$H_{0,x} = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_{2^n}/\mathbb{Q}_{2^{n-a}}) \qquad H_{1,x} = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_{2^n}/\mathbb{Q}^-_{2^{n-a+1}})$$

$$H_{2,x} = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_{2^n}/\mathbb{Q}^+_{2^{n-a}}) \qquad \langle(1,0)\rangle = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_{2^n}/\mathbb{Q}^+_{2^n})\,.$$

Proof. The projection of $H_{0,x}$ onto $\mathbb{Z}/2$ is trivial hence $H_{0,x}$ fixes ζ_4, and the corresponding field contains ζ_4. Since $\mathbb{Q}^+_{2^n}/\mathbb{Q}$ is totally real, $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_{2^n}/\mathbb{Q}^+_{2^n})$ consists of the identity and the complex conjugation $(1,0)$. Thus only the subfields of $\mathbb{Q}^+_{2^{n-1}}$ correspond to groups of type $H_{2,x}$. By exclusion, we determine the subfields corresponding to groups of type $H_{1,x}$. ☐

In particular, for $n \geq 3$, an extension of the form $\mathbb{Q}_{2^n}/L$ with $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq L \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_{2^n}$ has non-cyclic Galois group if and only if L is totally real and it is strictly contained in $\mathbb{Q}^+_{2^n}$.

3 Cyclic Kummer extensions

This section contains the results on Kummer theory that are needed for this paper: references about Kummer theory are [10, ch. VI, §6 and §8], [5, §2], [14, ch. IV, §3].

Let K be a field, and denote by $K^\times$ the multiplicative group of K. Suppose that $\alpha^n = a$ for some $a \in K^\times$ and for some $n \geq 1$ not divisible by the characteristic of K, such that $\zeta_n \in K$. We then write $K(\alpha) = K(\sqrt[n]{a})$. This extension of K is obtained by adjoining one (equivalently, all) n-th roots of a. It is a Galois extension of K, being the splitting field of $X^n - a$. The Galois group is cyclic of order dividing n.

Definition. A cyclic Kummer extension of a field K is an extension of K of the form $K(\sqrt[n]{a})$ where $a \in K^\times$, $n \geq 1$ is not divisible by the characteristic of K, and $\zeta_n \in K$.

We have the following characterization:

Theorem ([10, ch. 6, thm. 6.2])**.** *Let K be a field. Let $n \geq 1$ be not divisible by the characteristic of K, and suppose that $\zeta_n \in K$. A finite Galois extension of K whose Galois group is cyclic of order dividing n is a cyclic Kummer extension.*

If L is a cyclic Kummer extension of K of degree n, we can consider the subgroup of $K^\times$ consisting of the elements which have some (hence all) n-th roots in L. This subgroup contains $K^{\times n}$. We thus associate to L a subgroup Δ of $K^\times/K^{\times n}$. The group Δ is cyclic of order n, and the function $L \mapsto \Delta$ which maps a cyclic Kummer extension of K of degree n to a cyclic subgroup of $K^\times/K^{\times n}$ of order n, is a bijection.

Let $a \in K^\times$, and consider the field $L = K(\sqrt[n]{a})$ which is associated to $\Delta = \langle a \rangle$. There is a canonical isomorphism

$$\Delta \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Gal}(L/K), \mu_n)$$

such that the class of a is mapped to the character $\chi_a : \sigma \mapsto \alpha^\sigma \cdot \alpha^{-1}$, where α is such that $\alpha^n = a$. This character does not depend on the choice of α.

The degree of L/K divides n and it is, equivalently: the order of Δ; the order of the class of a in $K^\times/K^{\times n}$; the smallest d such that $\alpha^d \in K^\times$; the integer d for which n/d is the greatest divisor of n such that a has some (n/d)-th roots in K.

The field $K(\sqrt[n]{a^{n/d}}) = K(\sqrt[d]{a})$ is the unique subextension of $K(\sqrt[n]{a})$ of degree d: it is a cyclic Kummer extension of degree d. If t is coprime to n, we have $K(\sqrt[n]{a^t}) = K(\sqrt[n]{a})$.

If we consider the integer factorization $n = \prod \ell^e$ then $K(\sqrt[n]{a})$ is the composite of the extensions $K(\sqrt[\ell^e]{a})$, which have coprime degrees.

Lemma 6 ([5, lem. 3])**.** *Let K be a field. Let $n \geq 1$ be not divisible by the characteristic of K, and suppose that $\zeta_n \in K$. If $a, b \in K^\times$ are such that $K(\sqrt[n]{a}) = K(\sqrt[n]{b})$ then $a = b^t\gamma^n$ for some $\gamma \in K^\times$ and for some t coprime to n.*

Proof. Since $\langle a \rangle = \langle b \rangle$ in $K^\times/K^{\times n}$, we have $a = b^t\gamma^n$ for some integer t and for some $\gamma \in K^\times$. Since $\langle a \rangle$ and $\langle b \rangle$ have the same order, t is coprime to n. □

Lemma 7. *Let K be a field. Let $n \geq 1$ be not divisible by the characteristic of K, and suppose that $\zeta_n \in K$. If $a, b \in K^\times$ are such that the fields $K(\sqrt[n]{a})$ and $K(\sqrt[n]{b})$ are either linearly disjoint over K, or if for every prime number $\ell \mid n$ the ℓ-adic valuation of their degrees over K are different, then we have*

$$\left[K(\sqrt[n]{ab}) : K\right] = \operatorname{lcm}\left([K(\sqrt[n]{a}) : K], [K(\sqrt[n]{b}) : K]\right).$$

Proof. Write $K_a := K(\sqrt[n]{a})$, $K_b := K(\sqrt[n]{b})$, $K_{ab} := K(\sqrt[n]{ab})$, and recall that these are all cyclic extensions of K. Call respectively d_a, d_b and d_{ab} the degrees. We have to prove $d_{ab} = \operatorname{lcm}(d_a, d_b)$, which means that the order of the character χ_{ab} equals the least common multiple of the order of the characters χ_a and χ_b. To prove that d_{ab} divides $\operatorname{lcm}(d_a, d_b)$, it suffices to notice that for every $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ we have

$$\chi_{ab}(\sigma|_{K_{ab}}) = \chi_a(\sigma|_{K_a})\chi_b(\sigma|_{K_b}).$$

Let ℓ be a prime dividing n. We are left to show that for the ℓ-adic valuation we have $v_\ell(d_{ab}) \geq \max\{v_\ell(d_a), v_\ell(d_b)\}$. We may suppose without loss of generality that $v_\ell(d_a) \geq v_\ell(d_b)$. By assumption K_a and K_b are linearly disjoint over K, or $v_\ell(d_a) > v_\ell(d_b)$. Then we can find $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ such that the order of $\chi_a(\sigma|_{K_a})$ has ℓ-adic valuation $v_\ell(d_a)$ while the order of $\chi_b(\sigma|_{K_b})$ has ℓ-adic valuation respectively 1 or at most $v_\ell(d_b)$. In both cases, the order of $\chi_{ab}(\sigma|_{K_{ab}})$ has ℓ-adic valuation $v_\ell(d_a)$. □

Lemma 8. *Let K be a field, and ℓ a prime number different from the characteristic of K. Let $n \geq 1$, and suppose that $\zeta_{\ell^n} \in K$. If $a \in K^\times$ then either $K(\sqrt[\ell^n]{a}) = K$ or there is some smallest $h \geq 1$ such that $K(\sqrt[\ell^h]{a}) \neq K$ and we have*

$$[K(\sqrt[\ell^n]{a}) : K] = \ell^{n-h+1}.$$

Proof. By assumption, $\chi_{a^{\ell(n-h+1)}}$ is the trivial character while $\chi_{a^{\ell(n-h)}}$ is non-trivial. We deduce that the second has order ℓ hence χ_a has order ℓ^{n-h+1}. $\square$

4 Divisibility properties and roots of unity

Let K be a field, and ℓ a prime number different from the characteristic of K. In this section, we explain a convenient way of writing the elements of $K^\times$, and solve our problem in the special case mentioned in the introduction.

Definition 9. *Let $a \in K^\times$. We say that a is* strongly ℓ-indivisible *(in K) if $a\xi$ has no ℓ-th roots in K, for every root of unity $\xi \in K$ of order a power of ℓ.*

Roots of unity are not strongly ℓ-indivisible. If a has ℓ^n-th roots in K for every $n \geq 1$ then we say that a is ℓ^∞-divisible in K. Unless $a\xi$ is ℓ^∞-divisible in K for some root of unity $\xi \in K$ then we can express a as the power of a strongly ℓ-indivisible element times a root of unity in K:

Lemma 10. *For $K_{\ell^\infty} \neq K$, let $t \geq 0$ be maximal such that $K_{\ell^t} = K$. Then exactly one of the following holds:*

(i) we have $a = b^{\ell^d}$ for some $d \geq 0$ and for some $b \in K^\times$ strongly ℓ-indivisible;

(ii) (only if $K_{\ell^\infty} \neq K$) we have $a = b^{\ell^d}\xi$ for some $d > 0$, for some $b \in K^\times$ strongly ℓ-indivisible and for some root of unity ξ in K of order ℓ^r with $r > \max(0, t-d)$;

(iii) $a\xi$ is ℓ^∞-divisible in K for some root of unity $\xi \in K$.

Proof. Suppose that there exists $d \geq 0$ maximal such that we can write $a = b^{\ell^d}\xi$ for some $d \geq 0$, for some $b \in K^\times$ and for some root of unity ξ in K of order ℓ^r with $r \geq 0$. Then b is strongly ℓ-indivisible by maximality of d. If $K_{\ell^\infty} = K$ or if $0 \neq r \leq t-d$, we may replace b so to get $\xi = 1$. Now suppose that there is no such maximal d. If $K = K_{\ell^\infty}$ this means that a is ℓ^∞-divisible in K. If $K \neq K_{\ell^\infty}$, since the number of roots of unity in K of order a power of ℓ is finite we have an infinite sequence of integers h such that $a = b_h^{\ell^h}\xi$ for some $b_h \in K^\times$ and for some fixed $\xi \in K^\times$ of order ℓ^r with $r \geq 0$. Then $a\xi^{-1}$ is ℓ^∞-divisible in K. To show that the cases are mutually exclusive, it is left to prove that (i) and (ii) cannot happen simultaneously. Suppose $a = \eta^{\ell^{d'}} = b^{\ell^d}\xi$ with $\eta, b \in K^\times$ strongly ℓ-indivisible and $\xi \in K$ a root of unity of order ℓ^r with $r > \max(0, t-d)$. Having $d' < d$ contradicts that η is strongly ℓ-indivisible; having $d' \geq d$ contradicts that ξ does not have ℓ^d-th roots in K. $\square$

In the lemma, the integers d and r do not depend on the choice of b and ξ because b is strongly ℓ-indivisible. Moreover, if $\zeta_\ell \notin K$ then a is either the power of a strongly ℓ-indivisible element or it is ℓ^∞-divisible in K.

We want to evaluate the degree $[K_{\ell^m}(\sqrt[\ell^n]{a}) : K_{\ell^m}]$ for $m \geq n > 0$. Suppose that a is a root of unity. If the order of a is coprime to ℓ, or if $K = K_{\ell^\infty}$ then the Kummer extension is trivial. So the case of roots of unity is settled by the following:

Proposition 11. *Suppose that $K \neq K_{\ell^\infty}$, and let a be a root of unity in K of order ℓ^r for some $r > 0$. Let $m \geq n > 0$.*

(i) Let $t \geq 1$ be the greatest positive integer such that $K_{\ell^t} = K$, and if $\ell = 2$ suppose that $t \geq 2$. Without loss of generality, let $m \geq t$. Then $K_{\ell^m}(\sqrt[\ell^n]{a}) = K_{\ell^{\max(r+n,m)}}$, and we have:

$$[K_{\ell^m}(\sqrt[\ell^n]{a}) : K_{\ell^m}] = \ell^{\max(0,r+n-m)} \tag{5}$$

(ii) Suppose that $\ell = 2$ and $\zeta_4 \notin K$ hence $a = -1$. Let $s \geq 2$ be the greatest integer such that $K_4 = K_{2^s}$, or $s = \infty$ if no such number exists. We have $[K_2(\sqrt{-1}) : K_2] = 2$. If $m \geq 2$ and either $m < s$ or $s = \infty$ then $[K_{2^m}(\sqrt[2^n]{-1}) : K_{2^m}] = 1$. Now suppose that $m \geq s \geq 2$. Then $K_{2^m}(\sqrt[2^n]{-1}) = K_{\max(1+n,m)}$, and we have:

$$[K_{2^m}(\sqrt[2^n]{-1}) : K_{2^m}] = \ell^{\max(0,1+n-m)} \tag{6}$$

Proof. The order of any ℓ^n-th root of a is ℓ^{n+r} hence $K_{\ell^m}(\sqrt[\ell^n]{a}) = K_{\ell^{\max(r+n,m)}}$. So (5) follows from lemma 3. Now suppose that $\ell = 2$, $\zeta_4 \notin K$ and so $a = -1$. We have $[K_2(\sqrt{-1}) : K_2] = 2$ because $K \neq K_4$. If $m \geq 2$ and either $m < s$ or $s = \infty$ then $K_{2^m} = K_{2^m}(\sqrt[2^n]{-1}) = K_{2^s}$ hence $[K_{2^m}(\sqrt[2^n]{-1}) : K_{2^m}] = 1$. If $m \geq s \geq 2$ then $K_{2^m}(\sqrt[2^n]{-1}) = K_{\max(1+n,m)}$, and (6) follows from lemma 3. □

In case (*iii*) of lemma 10, $K_{\ell^m}(\sqrt[\ell^n]{a}) = K_{\ell^m}(\sqrt[\ell^n]{\xi^{-1}})$ for every $m \geq n > 0$ so we may reduce to the case where a is a root of unity. We did the case of roots of unity in this section, so we may suppose in what follows that we are in cases (*i*) or (*ii*) of lemma 10.

5 About Theorem 1

In this section we prove theorem 1 and related results. The key ingredient is a the following theorem by Schinzel on abelian radical extensions. If $n \geq 1$, we write K_n for the field $K(\zeta_n)$.

Theorem 12 ([15, thm. 2])**.** *Let K be a field, and let $n \geq 1$ be not divisible by the characteristic of K. Let $a \in K^\times$. The extension $K_n(\sqrt[n]{a})/K$ is abelian if and only if $a^m = \gamma^n$ for some $\gamma \in K^\times$ and for some divisor m of n such that $\zeta_m \in K$.*

Stevenhagen and Wójcik independently gave a short elementary proof of Schinzel's result, see [11] or [18]. We prove theorem 1 as an application of Schinzel's theorem in the following two lemmas, which cover disjoint cases.

Lemma 13. *Let K be a field, and ℓ a prime number different from the characteristic of K. If $\ell = 2$, suppose that $\zeta_4 \in K$. Let t be the greatest positive integer such that $K_{\ell^t} = K$, or $t = \infty$ if no such number exists (if $\ell = 2$, $t \geq 2$). If a is strongly ℓ-indivisible then $K_\ell(\sqrt[\ell]{a})$ is not contained in K_{ℓ^∞}.*

Proof. Suppose that $K_\ell(\sqrt[\ell]{a})$ is contained in K_{ℓ^h} for some $h \geq 0$ so in particular that it is an abelian extension of K. If $K_\ell \neq K$ then by theorem 12 we deduce $a = \gamma^\ell$ for some $\gamma \in K$, contradicting the assumption on a. If $K_\ell = K$ and $t = \infty$ then $K(\sqrt[\ell]{a}) \neq K$ implies $K(\sqrt[\ell]{a}) \nsubseteq K_{\ell^\infty}$. If $K_\ell = K$ and $t \neq \infty$ then $K \neq K(\sqrt[\ell]{a}) \subseteq K_{\ell^h}$ implies $K(\sqrt[\ell]{a}) = K_{\ell^{t+1}}$. Thus $K(\sqrt[\ell]{a}) = K(\sqrt[\ell]{\zeta_{\ell^t}})$ so by lemma 6 we have $a = \gamma^\ell \zeta_{\ell^t}$. Since $\zeta_{\ell^t} \in K$, this contradicts the assumption on a. $\square$

Lemma 14. *Let K be a field of characteristic different from 2, such that $\zeta_4 \notin K$. Let s be the greatest integer such that $K_4 = K_{2^s}$, or $s = \infty$ if no such number exists. Let $a \in K^\times$ be strongly 2-indivisible. Then $K(\sqrt[4]{a}) \nsubseteq K_{2^\infty}$. If K has positive characteristic then $K(\sqrt{a}) \nsubseteq K_{2^\infty}$. If K has characteristic zero, we have $K(\sqrt{a}) \subseteq K_{2^\infty}$ if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned} K \cap \mathbb{Q}_{2^\infty} &= \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2^s} + \zeta_{2^s}^{-1}) \\ a &= \pm(\zeta_{2^s} + \zeta_{2^s}^{-1} + 2) \cdot \eta^2 \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where $\eta \in K$ and $s \neq \infty$, and in particular we have $K(\sqrt{a}) \subseteq K_{2^m}$ if and only if $m \geq s+1$.

Proof. If $K(\sqrt[4]{a}) \subseteq K_{2^\infty}$ then $K(\sqrt[4]{a})$ would be an abelian extension of K so by theorem 12 we have $a^2 = \gamma^4$ for some $\gamma \in K$. This implies $a = \pm\gamma^2$ so it contradicts the assumption on a. Note, $K(\sqrt{a})$ does not contain ζ_4 because otherwise $K(\sqrt{a}) = K(\sqrt{-1})$ hence by lemma 6 we have $a = -\gamma^2$ for some $\gamma \in K$, contradicting the assumption on a. Also $K(\sqrt{a}) \neq K$, again because a is strongly 2-indivisible. In characteristic p, the Galois group of K_{2^m}/K is cyclic for every $m \geq 0$ so $K_4 \neq K(\sqrt{a})$ implies $K(\sqrt{a}) \nsubseteq K_{2^\infty}$. Now let K have characteristic zero, and suppose $K(\sqrt{a}) \subseteq K_{2^\infty}$. Since $[K(\sqrt{a}) : K] = 2$ and $\zeta_4 \notin K(\sqrt{a})$, by lemma 4 we have $s \neq \infty$, $K \cap \mathbb{Q}_{2^\infty} = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2^s} + \zeta_{2^s}^{-1})$ and also

$$K(\sqrt{a}) = K\left(\sqrt{\pm(\zeta_{2^s} + \zeta_{2^s}^{-1} + 2)}\right).$$

By lemma 6, it follows that $a = \pm(\zeta_{2^s} + \zeta_{2^s}^{-1} + 2) \cdot \eta^2$ for some $\eta \in K^\times$ so by lemma 4 $K(\sqrt{a}) \subseteq K_{2^{s+1}}$ and $K(\sqrt{a}) \nsubseteq K_{2^s}$. By lemma 4, if a satisfies condition (7) then $K(\sqrt{a}) \subseteq K_{2^\infty}$. $\square$

Theorem 1 is also a consequence of the following theorem by Lorenz ([12, § 1, ch. 9]). We provide an alternative proof of Lorenz's result, showing that it is a consequence of Schinzel's theorem. For $n \geq 1$, write K^n for the subgroup of $K^\times$ consisting of the elements which are n-th powers.

Theorem 15 ([12, thms. 9.1.3 and 9.1.4]). *Let K be a field, and ℓ a prime number different from the characteristic of K. Let $m \geq 1$. For $\ell = 2$, let s be the greatest positive integer such that $K_4 = K_{2^s}$, or $s = \infty$ if no such number exists.*

(i) If $\ell = 2$, $\mathrm{char}(K) = 0$, $\zeta_4 \notin K$ and $m < s$ we have

$$K_{2^m}^{2^m} \cap K = K^{2^m} \cup \left((-1) \cdot K^{2^m}\right). \tag{8}$$

(ii) If $\ell = 2$, $\mathrm{char}(K) = 0$, $\zeta_4 \notin K$, $m \geq s$ and $K \cap \mathbb{Q}_{2^\infty} = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2^s} + \zeta_{2^s}^{-1})$ then

$$K_{2^m}^{2^m} \cap K = K^{2^m} \cup \left((\zeta_{2^{s+1}} + \zeta_{2^{s+1}}^{-1})^{2^m} \cdot K^{2^m}\right) \subseteq K^{2^{(m-1)}}. \tag{9}$$

(iii) In all the other cases we have

$$K_{\ell^m}^{\ell^m} \cap K = K^{\ell^m}. \tag{10}$$

Proof. To ease notations, we write $\eta := (\zeta_{2^{s+1}} + \zeta_{2^{s+1}}^{-1})$, $K_m := K_{2^m}$, $K_\infty := K_{2^\infty}$ and $K^m := K^{2^m}$.

We prove (8): We know that -1 has no square roots in K, but it has 2^m-th roots in K_m because $m < s$. Let $a \in K^\times$ be such that $\pm a$ does not belong to K^m: it is left to show that $a \notin K_m^m$. By lemma 10, we can write $a = \pm b^{2^d}$ where $b \in K^\times$ is strongly 2-indivisible, and $0 < d < m$. If $a \in K_m^m$ then $-a \in K_m^m$, so in any case b has 2^{m-d}-th roots in K_m, contraddicting lemma 14 because $m < s$.

We prove (9): By definition of s and by lemma 4, we know that $\eta^2 \in K$, and that $\pm\eta, \pm\zeta_4\eta$ are all not in K. In particular, η^2 and $-\eta^2$ do not have square roots in K. Then, recalling that $\zeta_4 \notin K$, one can easily deduce that $\eta^{2^m} \in K^{m-1}$ but $\eta^{2^m} \notin K^m$. Let $a \in K_m^m \cap K$. We have to show that a is either in K^m or it is η^{2^m} times an element of K^m. Note, -1 does not have 2^m-th roots in K_m because $m \geq s$. So by lemma 10 we may suppose that $a \in K^\times$ is of the form $a = \pm b^{2^d}$ where $b \in K^\times$ is strongly 2-indivisible, and $0 < d < m$. Since $a \in K_m^m$, we deduce that b has 2^{m-d}-th roots in K_∞. By lemma 14, this implies that $m - d \leq 1$ and that b is $\pm\eta^2$ times an element of K^1. Thus we have $m = d + 1$, and so a is $\pm\eta^{2^m}$ times an element of K^m. We conclude because η^{2^m} is in K_m^m while -1 is not.

We prove (10): Let $t \geq 0$ be maximal such that $K_{\ell^t} = K$. If $m \leq t$ the statement is trivial so suppose $m > t$. Since $m \geq s$ for $\ell = 2$, by lemma 3 we know that $K_{\ell^{m+1}} \not\subseteq K_{\ell^m}$. If $a \neq 1$ is a root of unity and it has some ℓ^m-th roots in K_{ℓ^m} then this has order divisible by ℓ^{m+1}, contradicting the last assertion. Thus we may suppose that a is not a root of unity times an element of K^{ℓ^m}. By lemma 10 we can then write $a = b^{\ell^d}\xi$ where $b \in K$ is strongly ℓ-indivisible, ξ is a root of unity of order $\ell^r \geq 1$ and $0 < d < m$. We deduce that b has ℓ^{m-d}-th roots in K_{ℓ^∞}, contradicting lemmas 13 and 14. □

6 Kummer extensions of prime order degree

In this section, ℓ is a prime number, and K is a field of characteristic different from ℓ. We consider a cyclic Kummer extension of order a power of ℓ, and study its relative degree with respect to a cyclotomic extension of the form K_{ℓ^m} for some $m \geq 1$.

Theorem 16. *Let K be a field, and ℓ a prime number different from the characteristic of K. If $\ell = 2$, suppose that $\zeta_4 \in K$. Let t be the greatest positive integer such that $K_{\ell^t} = K$, or $t = \infty$ if no such number exists ($t \geq 2$ for $\ell = 2$). Let $a \in K^\times$, and $m \geq n > 0$.*

(i) If $a = b^{\ell^d}$ for some $b \in K^\times$ strongly ℓ-indivisible and for some $d \geq 0$ we have

$$[K_{\ell^m}(\sqrt[\ell^n]{a}) : K_{\ell^m}] = \max(1, \ell^{n-d})$$

(ii) Suppose that $t \neq \infty$. Without loss of generality let $m \geq t$. If $a = b^{\ell^d}\xi$ for some $b \in K^\times$ strongly ℓ-indivisible, for some $d > 0$, and for some root of unity $\xi \in K$ of order ℓ^r with $r > \max(0, t-d)$ we have

$$[K_{\ell^m}(\sqrt[\ell^n]{a}) : K_{\ell^m}] = \max(1, \ell^{n-d}, \ell^{n+r-m}) \, .$$

Proof. (i): By lemma 13 we have $[K_{\ell^m}(\sqrt[\ell]{b}) : K_{\ell^m}] = \ell$ so we deduce from lemma 8 that $[K_{\ell^m}(\sqrt[\ell^{n-d}]{b}) : K_{\ell^m}] = \ell^{n-d}$. We conclude because $K_{\ell^m}(\sqrt[\ell^n]{a}) = K_{\ell^m}(\sqrt[\ell^{n-d}]{b})$ if $d \leq n$ and $K_{\ell^m}(\sqrt[\ell^n]{a}) = K_{\ell^m}$ if $d \geq n$.

(ii): Let $\gamma = a\xi^{-1} = b^{\ell^d}$. By case (i), the extensions $K_{\ell^m}(\sqrt[\ell^n]{\gamma})$ and $K_{\ell^m}(\sqrt[\ell^n]{\xi}) \subseteq K_{\ell^\infty}$ are linearly disjoint over K_{ℓ^m}. So by lemma 7 the degree of $K_{\ell^m}(\sqrt[\ell^n]{a})$ over K_{ℓ^m} is the least common multiple of the degrees of $K_{\ell^m}(\sqrt[\ell^n]{\gamma})$ and of $K_{\ell^m}(\sqrt[\ell^n]{\xi})$. The degree of $K_{\ell^m}(\sqrt[\ell^n]{\gamma})$ over K_{ℓ^m} was evaluated above as $\max(1, \ell^{n-d})$. The degree of $K_{\ell^m}(\sqrt[\ell^n]{\xi})$ over K_{ℓ^m} is $\max(1, \ell^{n+r-m})$ by lemma 3. ☐

Theorem 17. *Let K be a field of characteristic different from 2, such that $\zeta_4 \notin K$. Let s be the greatest integer such that $K_4 = K_{2^s}$, or $s = \infty$ if no such number exists. Let $a \in K^\times$, and $m \geq n > 0$.*

(i) If $a = b^{2^d}$ for some $d \geq 0$ and some $b \in K^\times$ which is strongly 2-indivisible then we have:

$$[K_{2^m}(\sqrt[2^n]{a}) : K_{2^m}] = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \leq d \\ 2^{n-d-1} & \text{if } n > d \text{ and } K(\sqrt{b}) \subseteq K_{2^\infty},\ m \geq s+1 \\ 2^{n-d} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(ii) If $a = -b^{2^d}$ for some $d > 0$ and for some $b \in K^\times$ which is strongly 2-indivisible, let $h \geq 0$ be such that $2^h = [K_{2^m}(\sqrt[2^n]{-a}) : K_{2^m}]$. Then we have:

$$[K_{2^m}(\sqrt[2^n]{a}) : K_{2^m}] = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } m = 1 \text{ or if } h = 0,\ s \neq \infty \text{ and } m = n \geq s \\ 1 & \text{if } h = 1,\ K(\sqrt{b}) \subseteq K_{2^\infty} \text{ and } m = n = s = d + 1 \\ 2^h & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Recall from lemma 14 that $K(\sqrt{b}) \subseteq K_{2^\infty}$ if and only if K has characteristic zero and

$$\begin{array}{ll} K \cap \mathbb{Q}_{2^\infty} = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2^s} + \zeta_{2^s}^{-1}) & \text{for some } s \geq 2 \\ b = \pm(\zeta_{2^s} + \zeta_{2^s}^{-1} + 2) \cdot \eta^2 & \text{for some } \eta \in K \end{array}$$

Proof. (i): By combining lemma 8 and lemma 14 we have

$$[K_{2^m}(\sqrt[2^n]{b}) : K_{2^m}] = \begin{cases} 2^{n-1} & \text{if } K(\sqrt{b}) \subseteq K_{2^\infty} \text{ and } m \geq s + 1 \\ 2^n & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The formulas follow at once because $K_{2^m}(\sqrt[2^n]{a}) = K_{2^m}(\sqrt[2^{n-d}]{b})$ if $n > d$ and $K_{2^m}(\sqrt[2^n]{a}) = K_{2^m}$ if $n \leq d$.

(ii): If $m = 1$ then $n = 1$ hence the degree of $K_2(\sqrt{a}) = K_4$ over $K_2 = K$ is 2. So let $m \geq 2$. Since $m \geq n$ and $m \geq 2$, we have

$$[K_{2^m}(\sqrt[2^n]{-1}) : K_{2^m}] = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } s \neq \infty \text{ and } n = m \geq s \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Thus, unless $s \neq \infty$ and $n = m \geq s$, we have $K_{2^m}(\sqrt[2^n]{a}) = K_{2^m}(\sqrt[2^n]{-a})$ and the requested degree is 2^h. Now we treat the remaining case: we have $s \neq \infty$, $n = m \geq s$, $K_{2^n}(\sqrt[2^n]{-1}) = K_{2^{n+1}}$ and $[K_{2^{n+1}} : K_{2^n}] = 2$. If $h = 0$, the requested degree is 2 because $K_{2^n}(\sqrt[2^n]{a}) = K_{2^n}(\sqrt[2^n]{-1})$. If $h > 1$, the requested degree is 2^h by lemma 7.

We are left with $h = 1$, so the fields $K_{2^n}(\sqrt[2^n]{-a})$ and $K_{2^n}(\sqrt[2^n]{-1})$ have both degree 2 over K_{2^n}. If the two fields are different then they are linearly disjoint and the requested degree is 2 by lemma 7. If the two fields are equal then a is a 2^n-th power in K_{2^n} by lemma 6 hence the requested degree is 1. We now prove that the two fields coincide if and only if $K(\sqrt{b}) \subseteq K_{2^\infty}$ and $n = s = d + 1$. These conditions are sufficient because (17) holds. We now prove that they are necessary. Since $h = 1$, we must have $n > d$ and in particular $K(\sqrt{b}) \subseteq K_{2^\infty}$. We are supposing that $K_{2^n}(\sqrt[2^{n-d}]{b}) = K_{2^{n+1}}$ so by lemma 14 we have $n - d < 2$. Thus $n = d + 1$. We have $K_{2^n}(\sqrt{b}) = K_{2^{n+1}} \not\subseteq K_{2^n}$ so from lemma 14 we deduce that $n < s + 1$ hence $n = s$. $\square$

7 Kummer extensions of any degree

Let K be a field. In this section, we are concerned with studying the degree of a cyclic Kummer extension of the form $K_m(\sqrt[n]{a})/K_m$, where $a \in K^\times$ and where $m, n \geq 1$ are such that $n \mid m$ and m is coprime to the characteristic of K. We expect that if a is strongly ℓ-indivisible for every prime ℓ dividing n then the degree $[K_m(\sqrt[n]{a}) : K_m]$ is n. This expectation is justified by theorem 18, because otherwise some conditions on m and on the torsion of K must be satisfied. Note, by the theorem of Kronecker-Weber every quadratic extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ is contained in some cyclotomic extension of $\mathbb{Q}$. For a more general description of this phenomenon, see theorem 20.

Theorem 18. *Let K be a field. Let $m \geq 1$ be not divisible by the characteristic of K. Let ℓ be a prime divisor of m, and let $n \geq 1$ satisfy $\ell^n \mid m$. Suppose that for some $a \in K^\times$ which is strongly ℓ-indivisible we have*

$$[K_m(\sqrt[\ell^n]{a}) : K_m] = \ell^{n-d}$$

for some $0 < d \leq n$. Then $\zeta_{\ell^d} \in K$. Moreover there is some odd prime $q \neq \ell$ dividing m such that $\ell^d \mid [K_q : K]$, unless $\ell = 2$, $d = 1$ and $K(\sqrt{a}) \subseteq K_{2^{v_2(m)}}$.

Lemma 8 implies that $[K_m(\sqrt[\ell^n]{a}) : K_m] = \ell^{n-d}$ is equivalent to $K_m(\sqrt[\ell^d]{a}) = K_m$ and either $d = n$ or $K_m(\sqrt[\ell^{d+1}]{a}) \neq K_m$.

Proof. We first show that $\zeta_{\ell^d} \in K$. Since $K_m(\sqrt[\ell^d]{a}) = K_m$, in particular $K_{\ell^d}(\sqrt[\ell^d]{a})$ is an abelian extension of K. Theorem 12 implies that $a^{\ell^e} = \gamma^{\ell^d}$ for some $\gamma \in K^\times$, and for some $e \geq 0$ such that $\zeta_{\ell^e} \in K$. Since a is strongly ℓ-indivisible, we have $d \leq e$ hence $\zeta_{\ell^d} \in K$. Let $m' := \ell^{v_\ell(m)} \cdot \prod q$, where the product is taken over the odd primes $q \neq \ell$ dividing m such that $q \equiv 1 (\operatorname{mod} \ell)$. Then $K_m(\sqrt[\ell^d]{a}) = K_m$ if and only if $K_{m'}(\sqrt[\ell^d]{a}) = K_{m'}$ because $K_m/K_{m'}$ has degree coprime to ℓ. Suppose that $K_{\ell^{v_\ell(m)}}(\sqrt[\ell^d]{a})/K_{\ell^{v_\ell(m)}}$ has degree ℓ^d. Then $K_{m'}/K_{\ell^{v_\ell(m)}}$ contains a cyclic subextension of degree ℓ^d hence the Galois group of some extension K_q/K has exponent divisible by ℓ^d. Since a is strongly ℓ-indivisible, theorem 16 implies that in the remaining case we have $\ell = 2$, and $d = 1$ because $\zeta_{2^d} \in K$. In particular $K_{\ell^{v_\ell(m)}}(\sqrt[\ell^d]{a})/K_{\ell^{v_\ell(m)}}$ has degree 1. ☐

We now show that the degree of a cyclic Kummer extension might be not maximal, even for strongly ℓ-indivisible elements. We can reduce to the case of a prime power:

Lemma 19. *Suppose that for every prime divisor ℓ of n we can find $a_\ell \in K^\times$ which is strongly ℓ-indivisible and such that $[K_m(\sqrt[\ell^{v_\ell(n)}]{a_\ell}) : K_m] = \ell^{v_\ell(d)}$. Then there is $a \in K^\times$ which is strongly ℓ-indivisible for every prime ℓ dividing n, and such that $[K_m(\sqrt[\ell^n]{a}) : K_m] = d$.*

Proof. Write $a'_\ell := a_\ell^{n/\ell^{v_\ell(n)}}$ and $a := \prod a'_\ell$. We have $[K_m(\sqrt[n]{a'_\ell}) : K_m] = \ell^{v_\ell(d)}$ for every ℓ. Note that $a \in K^\times$ and is strongly ℓ-indivisible for any prime number ℓ dividing n, so it suffices to apply lemma 7. ☐

Theorem 20. *Let K be a field of characteristic zero, and ℓ a prime number such that $K^\times/K^{\times\ell}$ is infinite. Let $m \geq 1$ and $n \geq 1$ be such that $\ell^n \mid m$. If $d = 0$ or if $0 < d \leq n$, $\zeta_{\ell^d} \in K$ and $\ell^d \mid [K_q : K]$ for some odd prime $q \neq \ell$ dividing m, then there is some $a \in K^\times$ which is strongly ℓ-indivisible and such that*

$$[K_m(\sqrt[\ell^n]{a}) : K_m] = \ell^{n-d}\,.$$

Proof. Take $b \in K^\times$ such that $\sqrt[\ell]{b}$ is not contained in K_m: such an element exists because K_m contains only finitely many subextensions of degree ℓ while $K^\times/K^{\times\ell}$ is infinite. Suppose that $d \geq 1$. By assumption, there is a cyclic Kummer extension C of K of degree ℓ^d contained in K_q. Let $a \in K^\times$ be such that $C = K(\sqrt[\ell^d]{a})$. In particular, the degree $\delta := [K_m(\sqrt[\ell^n]{a}) : K_m]$ divides ℓ^{n-d}. If $\delta \neq \ell^{n-d}$ it suffices to replace a by ab^{ℓ^d}, so that $K_m(\sqrt[\ell^d]{a}) = C$ but $K_m(\sqrt[\ell^{d+1}]{a}) \nsubseteq K_m$. Then a is strongly ℓ-indivisible: if $a = \gamma^\ell \xi$ for some $\gamma \in K^\times$ and $\xi \in K$ a root of unity then $\sqrt[\ell]{a}$ belongs to K_{ℓ^∞} but since it is not in K (cf. lemma 8) then it cannot be in K_q, contradiction. Now let $d = 0$. We have $[K_m(\sqrt[\ell^n]{b}) : K_m] = \ell^n$ by lemma 8. It is left to show that b is strongly ℓ-indivisible. If $K = K_{\ell^\infty}$ or if $\zeta_\ell \notin K$ this is equivalent to the condition that b has no ℓ-th roots in K, so it is true by the choice of b. Otherwise, if $t \geq 1$ is the greatest integer such that $K = K_{\ell^t}$ then it suffices to choose b such that $\sqrt[\ell]{b}$ is not contained in $K_{m\ell^{t+1}}$. □

Appendix: The density of sets of primes related to the reductions of an algebraic number

Let K be a number field, and let $a \in K^\times$. Let ℓ be a prime number. We want to evaluate the Dirichlet density of the set of primes $\mathfrak{p}$ of K such that the multiplicative order of the reduction of a modulo $\mathfrak{p}$ has a prescribed ℓ-adic valuation, namely

$$\operatorname{dens}(a, n) = \operatorname{dens}\{\mathfrak{p} : \operatorname{ord}_\ell(a \bmod \mathfrak{p}) = n\}$$

for some $n \geq 0$. We tacitly assume that $(a \bmod \mathfrak{p})$ is well-defined, so we exclude the finitely many primes $\mathfrak{p}$ such that $v_\mathfrak{p}(a) < 0$. The considered set of primes has a natural density, so in particular a Dirichlet density (cf. [9] and rem. 21). Suppose that a is not a root of unity, because otherwise the density is trivially either 0 or 1. We may reduce to calculating $\operatorname{dens}(a, 0)$ because of the following remark:

Remark 21. *For every $n \geq 1$, we have* $\operatorname{dens}(a, n) = \operatorname{dens}(a^{\ell^n}, 0) - \operatorname{dens}(a^{\ell^{n-1}}, 0)$. *If $\ell = 2$, we have* $\operatorname{dens}(a, 1) = \operatorname{dens}(-a, 0)$ *and* $\operatorname{dens}(a, n) = \operatorname{dens}(-a, n)$ *for $n \geq 2$.*

Proof. For the ℓ-adic valuation of the order we have:

$$\operatorname{ord}_\ell(a^{\ell^n} \bmod \mathfrak{p})) = \max(0, \operatorname{ord}_\ell(a \bmod \mathfrak{p}) - n)\,.$$

The first assertion follows immediately. Recall that $(-1 \bmod \mathfrak{p})$ is the only element of order 2 in the multiplicative group of the residue field at $\mathfrak{p}$. Then either $\mathrm{ord}_2(-a \bmod \mathfrak{p}) = \mathrm{ord}_2(a \bmod \mathfrak{p}) \geq 2$ or $\{\mathrm{ord}_2(a \bmod \mathfrak{p}), \mathrm{ord}_2(-a \bmod \mathfrak{p})\} = \{0,1\}$. The second assertion follows immediately. $\square$

We may express $\mathrm{dens}(a,0)$ via the degrees of certain extensions of K:

Lemma 22.

$$\mathrm{dens}(a,0) = \sum_{i\geq 0} \left([K_{\ell^i}(\sqrt[\ell^i]{a}) : K]^{-1} - [K_{\ell^{i+1}}(\sqrt[\ell^i]{a}) : K]^{-1} \right) \tag{11}$$

Proof. Recall that there are only finitely many primes of K that can ramify in any of the extensions $K_{\ell^i}(\sqrt[\ell^i]{a})$, for any $i \geq 0$. In what follows, we tacitly exclude the finitely many primes $\mathfrak{p}$ of K that are ramified in some of the above extensions, or such that $(a \bmod \mathfrak{p})$ is not well-defined. Let S be the set of primes $\mathfrak{p}$ of K such that $(a \bmod \mathfrak{p})$ has order coprime to ℓ, or equivalently that $(a \bmod \mathfrak{p})$ has some ℓ^n-th root in the residue field $k_\mathfrak{p}$ for every $n \geq 1$. Then we can write S as a disjoint union $S = \cup_{i\geq 0} S_i$, where S_i (possibly empty) is the subset of S defined by the following condition: i is the greatest integer such that the residue field $k_\mathfrak{p}$ contains the ℓ^i-th roots of unity. Then S_i can be characterized as follows: the primes which split completely in $K_{\ell^i}(\sqrt[\ell^i]{a})$ but not in $K_{\ell^{i+1}}(\sqrt[\ell^i]{a})$. This shows that S_i has a natural density, and we already pointed out that S has a natural density. By the Chebotarev Density Theorem, we can rewrite (11) as

$$\mathrm{dens}(S) = \sum_{i\geq 0} \mathrm{dens}(S_i)\,. \tag{12}$$

For every n, we have $\cup_{i\leq n} S_i \subseteq S$ therefore $\mathrm{dens}(S) \geq \sum_{i\leq n} \mathrm{dens}(S_i)$ and passing at the limit in n we obtain one inequality in (12). For the other inequality, remark that $\cup_{i>n} S_i$ is contained in the set of primes of K which split completely in K_{ℓ^n}, and this last set has a density going to zero in n, by the Chebotarev Density Theorem. $\square$

So let K be a number field, ℓ a prime number and $a \in K^\times$ not a root of unity. In the following propositions, we calculate the density of primes $\mathfrak{p}$ of K such that the order of $(a \bmod \mathfrak{p})$ is coprime to ℓ. We apply lemma 22 for the expression of the densities, lemma 3 for the degree of the cyclotomic extension, and theorems 16 and 17 for the relative degree of the Kummer extension over the cyclotomic extension.

Proposition 23 ($K_\ell \neq K$)**.** *Let $d \geq 0$ be maximal such that a is an ℓ^d-th power in K. Then we have*

$$\mathrm{dens}(a,0) = 1 - [K_\ell : K]^{-1} \cdot \frac{\ell}{\ell+1} \cdot \ell^{-d}$$

Proof. Write $\delta = [K_\ell : K]^{-1}$, so $[K_{\ell^{i+1}} : K]^{-1} = \delta \cdot \ell^{-i}$. We have

$$[K_{\ell^i}(\sqrt[\ell^i]{a}) : K_{\ell^i}]^{-1} = [K_{\ell^{i+1}}(\sqrt[\ell^i]{a}) : K_{\ell^{i+1}}]^{-1} = \ell^{\min(d-i,0)}\,.$$

Then we calculate the density as follows:

$$\mathrm{dens}(a,0) = (1-\delta) + \delta \cdot (\ell - 1) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \ell^{-i} + \delta \cdot (\ell-1) \cdot \ell^d \cdot \sum_{i=d}^{\infty} \ell^{-2i} = 1 - \delta \frac{\ell^{1-d}}{(\ell+1)}\,.$$

□

Proposition 24 ($K_\ell = K$, $\zeta_4 \in K$ if $\ell = 2$)**.** *Let $t > 0$ be the greatest positive integer such that $K_{\ell^t} = K$.*

(i) Let a be the ℓ^d-th power of a strongly ℓ-indivisible element in K. Then we have

$$\mathrm{dens}(a,0) = \begin{cases} \frac{\ell}{\ell+1} \cdot \ell^{d-t} & \text{if } d < t \\ 1 - \frac{1}{(\ell+1)} \cdot \ell^{t-d} & \text{if } d \geq t \end{cases}$$

(ii) Let a be the ℓ^d-th power of a strongly ℓ-indivisible element in K times a root of unity of order ℓ^r such that $r > \max(0, t-d)$. Then we have

$$\mathrm{dens}(a,0) = \frac{\ell}{\ell+1} \cdot \ell^{-2r+t-d}$$

Proof of (i): We have $[K_{\ell^i} : K]^{-1} = \ell^{t-i}$ for $i \geq t$. We also know

$$[K_{\ell^i}(\sqrt[\ell^i]{a}) : K_{\ell^i}]^{-1} = [K_{\ell^{i+1}}(\sqrt[\ell^i]{a}) : K_{\ell^{i+1}}]^{-1} = \ell^{\min(d-i,0)}\,.$$

The terms of (11) for $i \leq t-1$ are zero, because the two involved cyclotomic extensions are the same. If $d \leq t$ then:

$$\mathrm{dens}(a,0) = \sum_{i \geq t} (\ell-1)\ell^{t-i-1} \cdot \ell^{d-i} = (\ell - 1) \cdot \ell^{t+d-1} \cdot \frac{\ell^{-2t+2}}{\ell^2 - 1} = \frac{\ell^{1+d-t}}{\ell+1}\,.$$

If $d \geq t$, note that the sum for $i \geq d$ has a similar expression (swapping t and d):

$$\mathrm{dens}(a,0) = \sum_{i=t}^{d-1} (\ell-1)\ell^{t-i-1} + \sum_{i \geq d} (\ell-1)\ell^{t-i-1} \cdot \ell^{d-i} = 1 - \ell^{t-d}(\frac{1}{\ell+1})\,.$$

Proof of (ii): We have $[K_{\ell^i} : K]^{-1} = \ell^{t-i}$ for $i \geq t$. We also know

$$[K_{\ell^i}(\sqrt[\ell^i]{a}) : K_{\ell^i}]^{-1} = \ell^{\min(0,d-i,-r)} \qquad [K_{\ell^{i+1}}(\sqrt[\ell^i]{a}) : K_{\ell^{i+1}}]^{-1} = \ell^{\min(0,d-i,1-r)}\,.$$

The terms of (11) for $i \leq t-1$ are zero, because the two involved cyclotomic extensions are the same.

Recall that $t < r+d$. For $t \leq i \leq r+d-1$ the i-th term of (11) is zero. So we may write:

$$\mathrm{dens}(a,0) = \ell^{t-2r-d}(1-\ell^{-1}) + \sum_{i>r+d} \left(\ell^{t-i}\cdot\ell^{d-i} - \ell^{t-i-1}\cdot\ell^{d-i}\right) = \ell^{t-2r-d}\frac{\ell}{\ell+1}\,.$$

□

Proposition 25 ($\ell = 2, \zeta_4 \notin K$)**.** *Let s be the maximal positive integer such that $K_4 = K_{2^s}$.*

(i) Let $a = b^{2^d}$ for some $d \geq 0$ and for some $b \in K$ which is strongly 2-indivisible. Let $\epsilon = 1/2$ if $K(\sqrt{b}) \subseteq K_{2^\infty}$ and $\epsilon = 1$ otherwise. Then we have

$$\mathrm{dens}(a,0) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4} + \frac{\epsilon}{3}\cdot 2^{-s} & \text{if } d = 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{3}\cdot 2^{d-s} & \text{if } 0 < d < s \\ 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{6}\cdot 2^{s-d} & \text{if } d \geq s \end{cases}$$

(ii) Let $a = -b^{2^d}$ for some $d > 0$ and for some $b \in K$ which is strongly 2-indivisible. Then we have

$$\mathrm{dens}(a,0) = \begin{cases} \mathrm{dens}(-a,0) - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{\epsilon}{3}\cdot 2^{d-s} & \text{if } 0 < d < s-1 \\ \mathrm{dens}(-a,0) - \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \frac{1}{6\epsilon} & \text{if } d = s-1 \\ \mathrm{dens}(-a,0) - 1 + \frac{\epsilon}{4}\cdot 2^{s-d} = \frac{\epsilon}{12}\cdot 2^{s-d} & \text{if } d \geq s \end{cases}$$

See lemma 14 for the condition $K(\sqrt{b}) \subseteq K_{2^\infty}$.

Proof. We have $[K_{2^i} : K]^{-1} = 2^{s-i-1}$ for $i \geq s \geq 2$ and $[K_{2^i} : K]^{-1} = 2^{-1}$ for $s \geq i \geq 2$. So we calculate:

$$\mathrm{dens}(a,0) = \left(\,[K(\sqrt{a}) : K]^{-1} - 2^{-1}\cdot[K_4(\sqrt{a}) : K_4]^{-1}\right) +$$

$$+ \sum_{i \geq s}\left(\,2^{s-i-1}[K_{2^i}(\sqrt[2^i]{a}) : K_{2^i}]^{-1} - 2^{s-i-2}\cdot[K_{2^{i+1}}(\sqrt[2^i]{a}) : K_{2^{i+1}}]^{-1}\right).$$

Proof of (i): We first treat the case where $K(\sqrt{b}) \not\subseteq K_{2^\infty}$. We have:

$$\mathrm{dens}(a,0) = (1-2^{-1})\cdot 2^{\min(0,d-1)} + \sum_{i\geq s} 2^{s-i-2}\cdot 2^{\min(0,d-i)}\,.$$

If $d = 0$ then

$$\operatorname{dens}(a, 0) = 2^{-2} + \sum_{i \geq s} 2^{s-i-2} \cdot 2^{-i} = 2^{-2} + 2^{s-2} \cdot \frac{2^{-2s+2}}{2^2 - 1} = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{3} \cdot 2^{-s}\,.$$

If $0 < d \leq s$ then

$$\operatorname{dens}(a, 0) = 2^{-1} + \sum_{i \geq s} 2^{s-i-2} \cdot 2^{d-i} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} \cdot 2^{d-s}\,.$$

If $d \geq s$ then

$$\operatorname{dens}(a, 0) = 2^{-1} + \sum_{i=s}^{d-1} 2^{s-i-2} + \sum_{i \geq d} 2^{s-i-2} \cdot 2^{d-i} = 1 - \frac{1}{6} \cdot 2^{s-d}\,.$$

We now treat the case where $K(\sqrt{b}) \subseteq K_{2^\infty}$ (cf. lemma 14). If $d = 0$, then

$$\operatorname{dens}(a, 0) = 2^{-2} + (2^{-1} \cdot 2^{-s} - 2^{-2} \cdot 2^{1-s}) + \sum_{i \geq s+1} \left(2^{s-i-1} 2^{1-i} - 2^{s-i-2} \cdot 2^{1-i} \right)$$

$$= 2^{-2} + 2^{s-1} \sum_{i \geq s+1} 2^{-2i} = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{6} \cdot 2^{-s}\,.$$

If $0 < d < s$ then

$$\operatorname{dens}(a, 0) = 2^{-1} + (2^{-1} \cdot 2^{d-s} - 2^{-2} \cdot 2^{d-s+1}) + \sum_{i \geq s+1} \left(2^{s-i-1} 2^{d+1-i} - 2^{s-i-2} \cdot 2^{d+1-i} \right)$$

$$= 2^{-1} + 2^{d+s-1} \cdot \sum_{i \geq s+1} 2^{-2i} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{6} \cdot 2^{d-s}\,.$$

If $d = s$ then

$$\operatorname{dens}(a, 0) = 2^{-1} + (2^{-1} - 2^{-2}) + \sum_{i \geq s+1} \left(2^{s-i-1} 2^{d+1-i} - 2^{s-i-2} \cdot 2^{d+1-i} \right) = \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{6} \cdot 2^{d-s} = \frac{11}{12}\,.$$

If $d \geq s + 1$ then

$$\operatorname{dens}(a, 0) = 2^{-1} + (2^{-1} - 2^{-2}) + \sum_{i=s+1}^{d} \left(2^{s-i-1} - 2^{s-i-2} \right) + \sum_{i \geq d+1} \left(2^{s-i-1} 2^{d+1-i} - 2^{s-i-2} \cdot 2^{d+1-i} \right)$$

$$= \frac{3}{4} + \sum_{i=s+1}^{d} 2^{s-i-2} + \sum_{i \geq d+1} \left(2^{s-i-2} \cdot 2^{d+1-i} \right) = 1 - 2^{s-d} \cdot \frac{1}{12}\,.$$

Proof of (ii): We calculate only the few terms that differ from those of $\mathrm{dens}(-a,0)$. We first treat the case where $K(\sqrt{b}) \not\subseteq K_{2^\infty}$. Since $d \geq 1$ we know that $[K(\sqrt{a}):K]^{-1} = 2^{-1}$ while $[K(\sqrt{-a}):K]^{-1} = 1$. The only other terms which we have to replace are $[K_{2^i}(\sqrt[2^i]{a}) : K_{2^i}]^{-1}$ for $s \leq i \leq d$, because they are 2^{-1} rather than 1. So for $0 < d < s$ we have $\mathrm{dens}(a,0) = \mathrm{dens}(-a,0) - \frac{1}{2}$. For $d \geq s$, we have:

$$\mathrm{dens}(a,0) = \mathrm{dens}(-a,0) - \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{i=s}^{d} 2^{s-i-1}(2^{-1}-1) = \mathrm{dens}(-a,0) - 1 + \frac{1}{4}\cdot 2^{s-d}\,.$$

We now treat the case where $K(\sqrt{b}) \subseteq K_{2^\infty}$. We have to replace also other terms: $[K_{2^i}(\sqrt[2^i]{a}) : K_{2^i}]^{-1}$ for $i = d+1 \geq s+1$, which is 2^{-1} rather than 1. This gives, if $d \geq s$, a contribution of:

$$(2^{-1}-1)\cdot 2^{s-d-2} = -\frac{1}{8}\cdot 2^{s-d}$$

Finally, we have to replace $[K_{2^i}(\sqrt[2^i]{a}) : K_{2^i}]^{-1}$ for $i = s = d+1$, which is 1 rather than 2^{-1}. This means that if $d = s-1$ we also have to add $(1-2^{-1})\cdot 2^{-1} = \frac{1}{4}$. ☐

References

[1] E. Artin and J. Tate *Class field theory*, reprint of the 1990 second edition, AMS Chelsea Publication, Providence RI, 2009.

[2] A. Baker and H. M. Stark, *On a fundamental inequality in number theory*, Ann. Math. **94**, (1971), no. 2, 190–199.

[3] T. Albu, *Cogalois theory*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics 252, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 2003.

[4] F. Barrera-Mora and W. Y. Vélez, *Some results on radical extensions*, J. Algebra **162** (1993), no. 2, 295–301.

[5] B.J. Birch, *Cyclotomic fields and Kummer extensions* in Algebraic Number Theory, edited by J.W.S. Cassels and A. Fröhlich, Academic Press, London, 1967.

[6] F. Halter-Koch, *Eine Galoiskorrespondenz für Radikalerweiterungen*, J. Algebra **63** (1980), no. 2, 318–330.

[7] H. Hasse, *Zum Existenzsatz von Grunwald in der Klassenkrpertheorie*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **188** (1950), 40–64.

[8] C. Hooley, *On Artin's conjecture*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **225** (1967), 209–220.

[9] R. Jones and J. Rouse, *Iterated endomorphisms of abelian algebraic groups.*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. **100** (2010), no. 3, 763–794.

[10] S. Lang, *Algebra*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 211, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002.

[11] H. W. Jr. Lenstra, *Commentary on H: Divisibility and congruences.* Andrzej Schinzel Selecta Vol.II, European Mathematical Society, Zürich, 2007, 901–902.

[12] F. Lorenz, *Algebraische Zahlentheorie*, Bibliographisches Institut & F.A. Brockhaus AG, Mannheim, 1993.

[13] P. Moree, *On primes p for which d divides* $\mathrm{ord}(g)$, Funct. Approx. Comment. Math. **33** (2005), 85–95.

[14] J. Neukirch, *Algebraic number theory*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften 322, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.

[15] A. Schinzel, *Abelian binomials, power residues and exponential congruences*, Acta Arith. **32** (1977), no. 3, 245–274. Addendum, ibid. **36** (1980),101–104. See also Andrzej Schinzel Selecta Vol.II, European Mathematical Society, Zürich, 2007, 939–970.

[16] C. Corrales Rodrigàñez and R. Schoof, *The support problem and its elliptic analogue*, J. Number Theory **64** (1997), no. 2, 276290.

[17] L. C. Washington, *Introduction to cyclotomic fields*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 83, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.

[18] J. Wójcik, *Criterion for a field to be abelian*, Colloq. Math. **68** (1995), no. 2, 187–191.

MSC: 11R18 (primary); 11R44, 12E30 (secondary)

Antonella Perucca, Postdoctoral fellow of the Research Foundation Flanders (FWO)
Department of Mathematics KU Leuven, Celestijnenlaan 200B, 3001 Heverlee, Belgium
email: antonella.perucca@gmail.com
telephone: +32 016 327015